

MARTIN COUNTY, FLORIDA DEVELOPMENT REVIEW

STAFF REPORT

A. Application Information

JUPITER HILLS VILLAGE PUD

TWENTY-SECOND AMENDMENT WITH REVISED MASTER SITE PLAN & PHASE IX FINAL SITE PLAN

Applicant/Owner: Jupiter Hills Club, Inc.

Agent for the Applicant: Brian Nolan, Lucido & Associates

County Project Coordinator: John Sinnott, Senior Planner

Growth Management Director: Paul Schilling Project Number: J002-022

Record Number: DEV2023100006

Report Number: 2024_1030_J002-002_Staff_Report_Final

Application Received: 10/26/2023 Transmitted: 10/31/2023 Date of Report: 01/26/2024 Application Received: 04/08/2024 Transmitted: 04/08/2024 06/03/2024 Date of Report: Application Received: 07/08/2024 Transmitted: 07/08/2024 Date of Report: 09/05/2024 Application Received: 09/27/2024 Transmitted: 09/30/2024 Date of Report: 10/30/2024

This document may be reproduced upon request in an alternative format by contacting the County ADA Coordinator (772) 320-3131, the County Administration Office (772) 288-5400, Florida Relay 711, or by completing our accessibility feedback form at www.martin.fl.us/accessibility-feedback.

B. Project description and analysis

This is a request by Lucido & Associates on behalf of Jupiter Hills Club, Inc., for approval of the 22nd Amendment to the Jupiter Hills Village PUD Agreement including a revised master plan and Phase IX

final site plan. The proposed development of Phase IX consists of a one-story, 32-bed building with parking and related infrastructure improvements to provide dormitory-style employee housing as an accessory use to the golf course. Phase IX is an approximately 4.59-acre parcel located west of SE Federal Highway approximately 430 feet northwest of the intersection of SE County Line Road and SE Federal Highway in Tequesta. The northern portion of the site is currently part of the Jupiter Hills Club golf course while the remainder of the parcel is undeveloped. Included is a request for a Certificate of Public Facilities Reservation.

Phase IX was created via the 9th Amendment to the Jupiter Hills Village PUD Agreement in September 1986. The 9th Amendment designated Phase IX for commercial use. The boundaries of Phase IX were subsequently modified in the 15th Amendment to the Jupiter Hills Village PUD Agreement in July 1992. The most recent Revised Master Site Plan, approved in December 2006 as part of the 18th Amendment to the Jupiter Hills Village PUD Agreement, continued to designate Phase IX for commercial use.

Ingress/egress is proposed from SE Federal Highway. The project is located inside the Primary Urban Services District. The proposed potable water supply is Tequesta Utilities while sanitary sewer/irrigation is proposed from the Loxahatchee River Environmental Control District.

C. Staff recommendation

The specific findings and conclusion of each review agency related to this request are identified in Sections F through T of this report. The current review status for each agency is as follows:

Section	Division or Department	Reviewer	Phone	Assessment
F	Comp Planning Review	John Sinnott	772-320-3047	Non-Comply
G	Site Design Review	John Sinnott	772-320-3047	Non-Comply
Н	Community Redevelopment Review	John Sinnott	772-320-3047	N/A
Н	Commercial Design Review	John Sinnott	772-320-3047	N/A
I	Property Mgmt Review	Ellen MacArthur	772-221-1334	N/A
J	Environmental Review	Shawn McCarthy	772-288-5508	Non-Comply
J	Landscaping Review	Karen Sjoholm	772-288-5909	Non-Comply
K	Transportation Review	Lukas Lambert	772-221-2300	Comply
L	County Surveyor Review	Tom Walker	772-288-5928	N/A
M	Engineering Services Review	Kaitlyn Sullivan	772-288-5920	Comply
N	Addressing Review	Emily Kohler	772-288-5400	Comply
N	Electronic File Submission Review	Emily Kohler	772-288-5400	Comply
O	Wellfield Review	Jorge Vazquez	772-221-1448	Comply
O	Water and Wastewater Review	Jorge Vazquez	772-221-1448	N/A
P	Emergency Mgmt Review	Amy Heimberger	772-285-7720	N/A
		Lopez		
P	Fire Prevention Review	Doug Killane	772-419-5396	Comply
Q	ADA Review	Kaitlyn Sullivan	772-288-5920	Comply

R	Health Review	Nick Clifton	772-221-4090	N/A
R	School Board Review	Mark Sechrist	772-219-1200	N/A
S	County Attorney Review	Elysse Elder	772-288-5925	Ongoing
T	Adequate Public Facilities Review	John Sinnott	772-320-3047	Pending

D. Review Board action

This application meets the threshold requirements for processing as a PUD Zoning Agreement Amendment with a revised master site plan and final site plan. As such, a review of this application is required by the Local Planning Agency (LPA) and final action by the Board of County Commissioners (BCC). Both the LPA and the BCC meetings must be public hearings [MARTIN COUNTY, FLA., LDR, §10.5.F.9 (2023)].

Pursuant to Sections 10.1.E. and 10.2.B.2, Land Development Regulations, Martin County, Fla. (2023), it shall at all times be the applicant's responsibility to demonstrate compliance with the Comprehensive Growth Management Plan (CGMP), Land Development Regulations (LDR) and the Code.

The applicant is required to re-submit materials in response to the non-compliance findings within this report. Upon receipt, the re-submitted materials will be transmitted for review to the appropriate review agencies and individuals that participate in the County's review process. A revised staff report will be created once the next review cycle has been completed.

E. Location and site information

Parcel number: 19-40-43-000-000-00420-8 Existing Zoning: Jupiter Hills Village PUD Future Land use: Estate Density 2UPA

Gross area of site: 4.59 acres

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

Figure I: Location Map



Figure II: Zoning Map



Property to the East: SE Federal Highway, WRC, R-3A

Property to the North: Jupiter Hills Village PUD Property to the West: Jupiter Hills Village PUD, PS

Property to the South: PS, Jupiter Hills Village PUD, WGC

Figure III: Future Land Use Map



Property to the East: SE Federal Highway, Commercial Waterfront

Property to the North: Estate Density 2UPA

Property to the West: Estate Density 2UPA, Recreational

Property to the South: General Institutional, Estate Density 2UPA

F. Determination of compliance with Comprehensive Growth Management Plan requirements - Growth Management Department

Unresolved Issues:

Item #1:

Generic Comp Plan Compliance:

This application cannot be deemed to be in compliance with the Martin County Comprehensive Growth Management Plan (CGMP) until the issues identified in this report have been satisfactorily resolved. Martin County, Fla., CGMP, § 1.3

G. Determination of compliance with land use, site design standards, zoning, and procedural requirements - Growth Management Department

Unresolved Issues:

Item #1:

Plan Consistency

- 1. Preserve area and area to remain in native landscape totals are not consistent between the landscape plan and final site plan.
- H. Determination of compliance with the urban design and community redevelopment requirements Community Development Department

Community Redevelopment

N/A – The site is not located within a Community Redevelopment Area; therefore, staff review for compliance requirements associated with this area of regulations is not applicable to this project as currently proposed.

Commercial Design

N/A – Staff review for compliance requirements associated with this area of regulations is not applicable to this project as currently proposed.

I. Determination of compliance with the property management requirements – Engineering Department

N/A - No dedication of right of way is required or proposed by the Applicant pursuant to the Roadway Classifications set forth in Section 4.843.B, Land Development Regulations, Martin County, Fla. [2001] which includes Table 4.19.1 that lists the minimum right of way requirements. Therefore, the Applicant is not required to submit due diligence materials for review by the Martin County Real Property Division.

J. Determination of compliance with environmental and landscaping requirements - Growth Management Department

Environmental

Unresolved Issues:

Item#1: Site Plan

As previously requested, please revise the preserve area data table to show the area, in acres, that will be

omitted from the preserve calculation for the existing utility lines. The total of upland scrub habitat to be preserve must be a minimum of 1.15 acres after the deduction for the utility lines as required by Section 4.33.B, LDRs.

Landscaping

Unresolved Issues:

Informational- For Discussion

This project is a prime candidate for meeting the Purpose and Intent of the landscaping code copied below. Retaining the surrounding rare and unique scrub vegetation can provide most of the required landscaping and buffering; will require minimal care (exotic removal) once established; will blend in with the golf course and preserve aesthetics and will benefit the adjacent preserve flora and fauna. Staff can field locate existing vegetation for barricading and following construction and exotic removal, supplemental planting with appropriate scrub vegetation (gumbo limbo, chapman's oak, scrub oak, pines, beautyberry, saw palmetto, gopher apple and horizontal cocoplum, etc.) can be installed with temporary irrigation and formalized with as-built plans.

4.661.A. Purpose and intent. The purpose and intent of this division is to promote the health, safety and welfare of existing and future residents by establishing minimum standards for the installation and continued maintenance of landscaping and buffering without inhibiting creative landscape design. This division requires specific water conservation measures including the preservation of native vegetation for landscaping purposes where applicable to minimize water use, conserve energy, limit nutrient loading to surface waters, and provide mature vegetation for aesthetics, shade and wildlife habitat. The specific objectives of these regulations are to: preserve and protect existing vegetation; promote water conservation and encourage greater use of native cold-tolerant and drought-tolerant landscape material; reduce heat and glare; provide temperature control; to improve the appearance of developed areas; enhance the value and appearance of local properties by more effectively buffering land uses; reduce air and noise pollution; improve the aesthetic appearance of all development by requiring sustainable landscaping and buffering that harmonizes and enhances the natural and built environment; and to reduce or minimize potential nuisances between land uses.

ITEM 1:

INTERIOR VUA REQUIREMENTS-NON-RES SITES

Please demonstrate compliance with the following criteria for interior vehicular use areas [Section 4.663.A. 4.b., LDR]. The interior area includes the entire parcel to be developed exclusive of the required front, rear, and side perimeter landscape areas. As an incentive to preserving native areas, up to one-half of the required interior landscape area may be waived when an equal area (at least 800 square feet) within the vehicle use area is preserved in a native state.

The Round 2 submitted landscape plan provided shading to represent areas to be utilized for interior VUA area, however, some of these areas do not meet the minimum size for IVUA.

REMEDY/SUGGESTION/CLARIFICATION:

The response letter states that the IVUA area required is only short by 360 sf and that the median size meets the minimum size. This is not correct. Section 4.663.A.b.(1) requires that areas utilized for IVUA be a minimum of 500 sf and medians must be a minimum of 12' in width; these can be reduced to 8' only if shade trees are spaced at 15' OC. This median is also encumbered with parking overhang which further reduces the width.

Terminal islands are required but can only be utilized to meet the IVUA areas if they meet the minimum size. Terminal islands as proposed do not meet this standard and silver buttonwoods do not qualify as shade trees. Only 1 tree, the Gumbo Limbo is proposed to have a 3" dbh, all the other trees within the VUA area are less than 3" dbh so 3 trees per IVUA area are required. Review and verify VUA requirements stated in the site data for compliance.

This Round 3 submittal has proposed preservation of a 20' width strip of existing native scrub along the west development boundary as an alternative, to meet this IVUA criterion. This is appreciated and can be utilized to compensate if conditions meet the code requirements of Section 4.663.A.4.b.(5).

Applicant Response: It is proposed that 20' existing native buffer along the west property line will account for IVUA landscape area shortage associated with 2 terminal islands and the center median landscape area. Additionally 1 COE has been added to two terminal islands and the Magnolia has been upsized to 3" DBH.

REMEDY/SUGGESTION/CLARIFICATION:

Comments not addressed.

The IVUA code states that the median area transfer needs to be to terminal islands adjacent to a public ROW. Protection of the existing scrub vegetation along US 1 could qualify for this alternative compliance request. In addition, the 20' preservation along the west property line no longer is shown or proposed. Current plans propose only bahia sod and a few new trees, this does not qualify for approval of alternative compliance.

Discussions have included staff's request to protecting existing vegetation (especially masses of saw palmetto) along the east boundary. The applicant's assertion is that that it is not possible but, in the event, it can be, plans will be modified as an as-built. An as-built plan is not sufficient to demonstrate effort to protect this vegetation. This intent needs to be identified on the plan and coordinated in the field. Add a note that grading will be modified as possible to protect existing vegetation. The existing established scrub vegetation will provide better stabilization than sod and a few trees. Also consider usage of saw palmetto instead of a hedge of cocoplum for stabilization of the slope and to integrate with adjacent scrub.

K. Determination of compliance with transportation requirements - Engineering Department

Traffic

Findings of Compliance:

The Traffic Division of the Public Works Department finds this application in compliance.

Compliance with Adequate Public Facilities Ordinance:

Staff has reviewed the Traffic Statement prepared by O'Rourke Engineering & Planning, dated April 2024. O'Rourke Engineering & Planning stated that the site's maximum AM peak hour impact was assumed to be 8 directional trips. The report finds that SE Federal Highway (SR-5/US-1) is the recipient of a majority of the generated trips. The project impact is 0.26% of the generalized volume of that roadway.

This application satisfies the Adequate Public Facilities Standard; it has a De Minimis impact (an impact that would not affect more than one percent of the maximum volume at the adopted level of service of the affected road facility) (Article 5, Division 1, Section 5.3).

L. Determination of compliance with county surveyor - Engineering Department

N/A - Staff review for compliance requirements associated with this area of regulations is not applicable to this project as currently proposed.

M. Determination of compliance with engineering, storm water and flood management requirements - Engineering Services Division

Engineering

Findings of Compliance:

Compliance with Adequate Public Facilities Ordinance:

This project will provide the proposed development sufficient services based upon the adopted LOS for stormwater management facilities.

Findings of Compliance:

The application was reviewed for compliance with the following Divisions of the Land Development Regulations. Staff's finding is summarized after each:

Division 8- Excavation, Fill, and Mining: The applicant demonstrated in the Engineer's Opinion of Probable Excavation, Fill, and Hauling that the amount of proposed excavation balances with the amount of fill needed for the site; therefore, hauling material from the site is not approved with this Development Order. The applicant demonstrated compliance with Division 8.

Division 9- Stormwater Management: The applicant has demonstrated the proposed development will retain the 100-year 1-day storm event prior to discharging into the roadway system. The applicant proposed a stormwater system consisting of culverts/swales and .29 acres of dry detention areas on site. The applicant demonstrated the water quality volume is being met in the proposed dry detention areas prior to discharging; thereby, the required attenuation and water quality treatment is in compliance with Division 9.

Division 10- Flood Protection: The site does not fall within a Special Flood Hazard Area. The applicant demonstrated that the minimum finished floor elevation of 23.00-feet NAVD is set at or above the maximum predicted stage of the 100-year 3-day storm event (21.44-feet NAVD); therefore, the applicant demonstrated compliance with Division 10.

Division 14- Parking and Loading: The applicant demonstrated compliance with the parking and loading requirements set forth in Division 14 with the design and layout of the proposed on-site parking facilities.

Division 19- Roadway Design: The applicant has demonstrated compliance with Division 19 with the design of the proposed connection to US-1.

Development Order

- 1. The Owner is not authorized to haul fill off the site and must coordinate with the County Engineer regarding the routes and timing of any fill to be hauled to the site. The Owner must comply with all County excavation and fill regulations.
- N. Determination of compliance with addressing and electronic file submittal requirements Growth Management and Information Technology Departments

Electronic Files

Findings of Compliance:

The AutoCAD dwg file of the site plan was received and found to be in compliance with Section 10.2.B.2., Land Development Regulations, Martin County, Fla. (2024).

Addressing

Findings of Compliance:

The application has been reviewed for compliance with Division 17, Addressing, of the Martin County Land Development Regulations. Staff finds that the proposed site plan / plat complies with applicable addressing regulations. All street names are in compliance. They meet all street naming regulations in Article 4, Division 17, Land Development Regulations. Martin County, Fla. (2024).

O. Determination of compliance with utilities requirements - Utilities Department

Water and Wastewater

N/A – The applicant is proposing connection to potable water supplied by Tequesta Utilities. Sanitary sewer and irrigation connections are proposed via the Loxahatchee River Environmental Control District. Therefore, water and wastewater review by Martin County Utilities & Solid Waste Department is not applicable.

Wellfield Protection

Findings of Compliance:

The application has been reviewed for compliance under the Wellfield Protection Program. The reviewer finds the application in compliance with the Wellfield Protection and Groundwater Protection Ordinances. [Martin County, Fla., LDR, Article 4, Division 5] (2016)

P. Determination of compliance with fire prevention and emergency management requirements – Fire Rescue Department

Fire Rescue

Findings of Compliance:

The replies stated in response to the staff report render the Fire Prevention Division to find this submittal to be in compliance with the applicable provisions governing construction and life safety standards of the Florida Fire Prevention Code. This occupancy shall comply with all applicable provisions of governing codes whether implied or not in this review, in addition to all previous requirements of prior reviews.

Emergency Management

N/A - Staff review for compliance requirements associated with this area of regulations is not applicable to this project as currently proposed.

Q. Determination of compliance with Americans with Disability Act (ADA) requirements - General Services Department

ADA

Findings of Compliance:

The Public Works Department staff has reviewed the application and finds it in compliance with the applicable Americans with Disability Act requirements. [2020 Florida Building Code, Accessibility, 7th Edition]

R. Determination of compliance with Martin County Health Department and Martin County School Board

Martin County Health Department

N/A - Staff review for compliance requirements associated with this area of regulations is not applicable to this project as currently proposed.

Martin County School Board

N/A - Staff review for compliance requirements associated with this area of regulations is not applicable to this project as currently proposed. The proposed employee housing will only accommodate adults 18 years of age and older.

S. Determination of compliance with legal requirements - County Attorney's Office

Review Ongoing

T. Determination of compliance with the adequate public facilities requirements - responsible departments.

The following is a summary of the review for compliance with the standards contained in Article 5.32.D of the Adequate Public Facilities LDR for a Certificate of Adequate Public Facilities Reservation.

Potable water facilities service provider – Village of Tequesta Utilities Department Findings – Pending Evaluation
Source - Village of Tequesta Utilities Department
Reference - see Section O of this staff report

Sanitary sewer facilities service provider – Loxahatchee River Environmental Control District

Findings – Pending Evaluation

Source - Loxahatchee River Environmental Control District

Reference - see Section O of this staff report

Solid waste facilities

Findings – In Place

Source - Growth Management Department

Stormwater management facilities

Findings – Positive Evaluation

Source - Engineering Services Department

Reference - see Section N of this staff report

Community park facilities

Findings – In Place

Source - Growth Management Department

Roads facilities

Findings – Positive Evaluation

Source - Engineering Services Department

Reference - see Section M of this staff report

Mass transit facilities

Findings – Positive Evaluation

Source - Engineering Department

Reference - see Section K of this staff report

Public safety facilities

Findings - In Place

Source - Growth Management Department

Reference - see Section P of this staff report

A timetable for completion consistent with the valid duration of the development is to be included in the Certificate of Public Facilities Reservation. The development encompassed by Reservation Certificate must be completed within the timetable specified for the type of development.

U. Post-approval requirements

After approval of the development order, the applicant will receive a letter and a Post Approval Requirements List that identifies the documents and fees required. Approval of the development order is conditioned upon the applicant's submittal of all required documents, executed where appropriate, to the

Growth Management Department (GMD), including unpaid fees, within sixty (60) days of the final action granting approval.

Please submit all of the following items in a single hard copy packet and in electronic pdf format (on disk or flash drive) with the documents arranged in the order shown in the list below. The 24" x 36" plans should be submitted rolled and in separate sets as itemized below.

Item	Description	Requirement
1.	Response to Post Approval Requirements List	The applicant will submit a response memo addressing the items on the Post Approval Requirements List.
2.	Post Approval Fees	The applicant is required to pay all remaining fees when submitting the post approval packet. If an extension is granted, the fees must be paid within 60 days from the date of the development order. Checks should be made payable to Martin County Board of County Commissioners.
3.	Recording Costs	The applicant is responsible for all recording costs. The Growth Management Department will calculate the recording costs and contact the applicant with the payment amount required. Checks should be made payable to the Martin County Clerk of Court.
4.	Warranty Deed	One (1) copy of the recorded warranty deed if a property title transfer has occurred since the site plan approval. If there has not been a property title transfer since the approval, provide a letter stating that no title transfer has occurred.
5.	Construction Plans	One (1) 24" x 36" copy of the approved construction plans signed and sealed by the Engineer of Record licensed in the State of Florida. Rolled.
6.	Approved PUD Amendment	Original and one (1) copy of the executed approved PUD Amendment.
7.	Approved Revised Master Site Plan	One (1) copy 24" x 36" of the approved revised master site plan.
8.	Approved Final Site Plan	One (1) copy 24" x 36" of the approved final site plan.

Item	Description	Requirement
9.	Approved Landscape Plan	One (1) 24" x 36" copy of the approved landscape plan signed and sealed by a landscape architect licensed in the State of Florida.
10.	Digital Copy of Site Plan	One (1) digital copy of the site plan in AutoCAD 2010 – 2014 drawing format (.dwg). The digital version of the site plan must match the hardcopy version as submitted.
11.	Engineer's Design Certification	One (1) original of the Engineer's Design Certification, on the County format, which is available on the Martin County website, signed and sealed by the Engineer of Record licensed in the State of Florida shall be submitted as part of the post-approval process in accordance with Section 10.11, Land Development Regulations, Martin County, Florida.
12.	Flash/Thumb Drive	One (1) blank flash/ thumb drive for digital file recording.

V. Local, State, and Federal Permits

Approval of the development order is conditioned upon the applicant's submittal of all required applicable Local, State, and Federal Permits to Martin County prior to scheduling the pre-construction meeting.

W. Fees

Public advertising fees for the development order will be determined and billed subsequent to the public hearing. Fees for this application are calculated as follows:

Fee type:	Fee amount:	Fee payment:	Balance:
Application review fees:	\$13,800.00	\$13,800.00	\$0.00
Inspection fees:	\$4,000.00	\$0.00	\$4,000.00
Advertising fees*:	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Recording fees**:	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Impact fees***:	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00

^{*} Advertising fees will be determined once the ads have been placed and billed to the County.

^{**} Recording fees will be identified after the post approval package has been submitted.

^{***}Impact fees are required at building permit.

X. General application information

Applicant/Owner: Jupiter Hills Club, Inc.

11800 SE Hill Club Terrace

Tequesta, FL 33469

Atilla Kardas, General Manager

561-745-4701

akardas@jupiterhillsclub.org

Agent: Lucido & Associates

701 SE Ocean Boulevard

Stuart, FL 34994 Brian Nolan 772-220-2100

bnolan@lucidodesign.com

Engineer of Record: Creech Consulting

P.O. Box 327 Stuart, FL 34995 Jerry Compton 772-215-1434

jcompton@creech.consulting.com

Y. Acronyms

ADA..... Americans with Disability Act

AHJ Authority Having Jurisdiction

ARDP..... Active Residential Development Preference

BCC.....Board of County Commissioners

CGMP Comprehensive Growth Management Plan

CIECapital Improvements Element CIPCapital Improvements Plan

FACBC Florida Accessibility Code for Building Construction

FDEP..... Florida Department of Environmental Protection

FDOT Florida Department of Transportation

LDR.....Land Development Regulations

LPA..... Local Planning Agency MCC..... Martin County Code

MCHD...... Martin County Health Department

NFPA National Fire Protection Association

SFWMD...... South Florida Water Management District

W/WWSA Water/Waste Water Service Agreement

Z. Attachments

N/A